



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/052,926	01/16/2002	Jeffrey R. Sampson	2003309-0027 (Agilent 10	1042
759	90 01/25/2005		EXAMI	NER
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			TUNG, JOYCE	
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/052,926	SAMPSON, JEFFREY R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
<u> </u>		Joyce Tung	1637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 November 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims		:			
 4) Claim(s) 1-35 and 67-101 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-35 and 67-101 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers		•			
10) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	• •		(DTO 446)			
2) Notice Notice Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	•			

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

This applicant's response filed 11/1/2004 to the Office action has been entered. Claims 1-35 and 67-101 are pending.

- 1. The objection of claim 4 is withdrawn because of the amendment.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-35 and 67-101 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn because of the argument.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-34 and 67-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldarelli et al. (6,015,714, issued Jan. 18, 2000) in view of Sampson et al. (6,054,274, issued Apr. 25, 2000) is withdrawn because of the argument.
- 4. The rejection of claims 35 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldarelli et al. (6,015,714, issued Jan. 18, 2000) in view of Sampson et al. (6,054,274, issued Apr. 25, 2000) as applied to claims 1-34 and 67-100 above, and further in view of Thorp et al. (5,871,918, issued Feb. 16, 1999) is withdrawn because of the argument.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTIONS

5. Claims 1-32, 67-75, and 78-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldarelli et al. (6,015,714, issued Jan. 18, 2000) in view of Lizardi (6,632,609, issued Oct. 14, 2003).

Baldarelli et al. disclose a method for sequencing nucleic acid polymer. The description of the method of Baldarelli et al. is listed in claims 1-24 (See Abstract and column 23-24, claims 1-24). Modified base are available including methylated bases (See column 8, lines 44-45). In order to identify the monomers, condition should be appropriate to avoid secondary structure in the polymer to be sequenced (See column 8, lines 53-54).

Art Unit: 1637

Baldarelli et al. do not disclose using a circular template, the nucleic acid molecule containing modified nucleotides, which are modified adenosine, modified thymine, modified guanosine and modified cytosine.

Lizardi discloses rolling circle amplification involving circular template (See fig. 4) to make a long DNA molecule containing multiple repeats of sequences complementary to the circular template (or open circle probe) (See column 9, lines 13-17). The modified nucleotides caged in the probe are modified adenosine, modified thymine, modified guanosine and modified cytosine (See column 78, lines 1-10).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the method of Baldarelli et al. by applying the circular template and modified base as taught by Lizardi et because as taught by Lizardi, rolling circle amplification results in a large amplification of the circularized probe sequences, orders of magnitude greater than a single cycle of PCR replication (See column 3, lines 16-20) and the modified nucleotide in the probe protect the 3'hydroxyl and render the degenerate probes incapable of participating in DNA polymerase extension (See column 78, lines 11-16) to produce different lengths of tandem repeat sequences (See column 22, lines 2-6). Although, Lizardi does not indicate that the modified nucleotides used is for reducing secondary structure in the nucleic acid molecule, the intended use does not render patentable weight. Thus, it would have been prima facie obvious to apply the circular template and modified nucleotide to the method of Baldarelli et al. to make the instant invention for sequencing nucleic acid.

6. Claims 33-34 and 76-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldarelli et al. (6,015,714, issued Jan. 18, 2000) in view of Lizardi (6,632,609, issued Oct. 14,

Art Unit: 1637

2003) as applied to claims 1-32, 67-75, and 78-100 above, and further in view of Ross et al. (6,175004, issued January 16, 2001).

The teachings of Baldarelli et al. and Lizardi are set forth in section 5 above.

Baldarelli et al. and Lizardi do not disclose that the nucleic acid molecule contains aminoadenosine, thiothymidine, inosine and pyrrolopyrimidine.

Ross et al. disclose an oligonucleotide, which contains 2-aminoadenosine, and like moieties (See column 4, lines 27-31).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the method of Baldarelli et al. by applying the nucleic acid molecule containing 2-aminoadenosine because the nucleic acid molecule containing 2-aminoadenosine provides stronger hybridization to their target sequences (See column 4, lines 31-32). Thus, it would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to apply the nucleic acid molecule containing 2-aminoadenosine to the method of Baldarelli et al. to make the instant invention for sequencing nucleic acid.

7. Claims 35 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldarelli et al. (6,015,714, issued Jan. 18, 2000) in view of Lizardi (6,632,609, issued Oct. 14, 2003) as applied to claims 1-32, 67-75 and 78-100 above, and further in view of Thorp et al. (5,871,918, issued Feb. 16, 1999).

The references of Baldarelli et al. and Lizardi set forth in section 5 above do not disclose analyzing nucleic acid by electron tunneling.

Thorp et al. disclose a method of detecting a nucleic acid by using electron tunneling (See column 9, lines 30-55). The method may be used in a variety of applications, including DNA sequencing (See the Abstract).

Art Unit: 1637

 $\sim \bullet$

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the method of

Baldarelli et al. by applying electron tunneling as taught by Thorp et al. since the electron

tunneling is applied to DNA sequencing. It would have been prima facie obvious to apply the

electron tunneling to the method of Baldarelli et al. to make the instant invention for sequencing

DNA.

Summary

8. No claims are allowable.

9. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7112. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM-4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119 on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM-

6:00 PM.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Chemical/Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

10. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile

transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 1637 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal

Mall 1 using (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242. The faxing of such papers must conform with the

notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Joyce Tung

January 20, 2005

ENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D

Page 5

1/24/05